

Presented to:

Governing Board of Trustees September 26, 2018

Catherine Webb David Martin Interim Dean of Planning, Research, & Institutional Effectiveness Vice President, Administrative Services



February 2017: Sanction & Recommendations Recieved

- 17 Recommendations to Meet Standards
- 5 Recommendations to Improve Effectiveness

March 2018: Follow-Up Report Submitted

- Directly addressed 17 Recommendations to Meet Standards
- Summary of progress related to 5 Recommendations to Improve Effectiveness

April 2018: Follow-Up Site Visit

• Team visited to confirm statements in Follow-Up Report

June 8, 2018: Commission Meeting

MPC team provided additional context for the findings in the Team Report



Summary:

- Sanction removed!
- Accreditation fully reaffirmed for 18 months
- 16 of 17 Recommendations to Meet Standards resolved
- Additional work & follow-up report required by Nov. 1, 2018 in order to address Recommendation 4



ACCJC Action Letter: June 13, 2018

Recommendation 4:

In order to meet the Standards, the College needs to engage in continuous, broad-based, systematic evaluation and planning. The institution needs to integrate program review, planning, and resource prioritization and allocation into a comprehensive process that leads to accomplishment of its mission and improvement of institutional effectiveness and academic quality. Institutional planning needs to be linked to short-range and long-range needs based on assessment of student learning and student achievement data.

(Standards I.B.7 & I.B.9)



Additional guidance from the Commission:

"...the College needs to complete the evaluation cycle and review the effectiveness of the [integrated planning] process in order for it to become continuous, broad-based, and systematic. The College also needs to complete its Integrated Planning Handbook."



Three Areas for Resolution

- Complete the Integrated Planning Handbook
- Evaluate new integrated planning processes for effectiveness
- Complete the integrated planning cycle (including demonstration of how resources are prioritized and allocated)



MPC's Response: June - Present

June 2018: Mobilizing the Response

- S/P charged Interim Dean of PRIE, VP/AS, and PRIE Committee with leading MPC's actions to resolve remaining tasks on Recommendation 4
- PRIE Committee developed timeline and tasks; work began

August & Early September 2018: Communicating Progress to Date

- Work continued in PRIE Committee and Fiscal Services
- Progress reports provided at Administrative Council, Management Team Meetings, AAAG, Flex Days, PAG, Academic Senate, President's Cabinet, & Governing Board

September 2018: Debriefing for Learning & Improvement

- Remaining tasks completed and debriefed for lessons learned
- Attention shifted to Follow-Up Report draft



Complete Planning Handbook

Summary of Actions:

- Campus feedback gathered (Apr May 2018)
- Final draft recommended for adoption (June 22, 2018)
- Final document posted publicly on the <u>MPC website</u> (July 2018)



Evaluate Process Effectiveness

Summary of Actions:

- Process evaluation survey developed (July 2018)
- Survey administered to campus (Aug 2018)
- Areas for improvement identified from survey results (Sept 2018)
- Improvements incorporated into 18-19 planning cycle (Sept 2018)



Integrate Prioritization & Allocation

Summary of Actions:

- Request prioritization rubric developed (Spring 2018)
- Unfunded requests for 18/19 budget scored against rubric in order to test new prioritization process (Aug 2018)
- Program Review funds allocated to items on the prioritized list generated from test of new prioritization process (Sept 2018)
- Scoring process & prioritization rubric evaluated for improvement prior to 18/19 cycle (Sept 2018)



Next Steps: Reporting

Complete Follow-Up Report (due to ACCJC Nov. 1, 2018)

- Oct 1: Draft Complete
- Oct 18: Academic Senate reivew
- Oct 23: Cabinet/PAG review
- Oct 24: Governing Board review & approval
- Jan 2019: ACCJC review & action



Next Steps: Ongoing Improvements

Implement Changes to Improve & Sustain

- Implement improvements to prioritization rubric
- Implement other process improvements emerging from evaluation (e.g., communication, timelines, support, & tools)
- Launch next process evaluation in order to identify lessons learned & inform improvements for next cycle

Questions & Discussion

