

BOT JULY 27th

Comment on BPs 4025 and 4100

Heather Craig on behalf of the Academic Senate

I would like to comment on the strike out of the wording "in collaboration with the Academic Senate" in these two BPs 4025 and 4100. 44220

California Law states that the Academic Senate should be relied primarily upon in regard to academic and professional matters. "To determine degree and certificate requirements" as well as "to assure that courses meet GE and associate degree requirements" are clearly "academic and professional matters".

The first time the AS recommended these BPs to you, they were ignored for a number of years. Now they have come to us newly revised and we have once again considered them and submitted them to you. Last month these 2 policies appeared on your agenda but with this notable edit which intentionally omits the role of faculty in the designing of procedures clearly related to academic and professional matters.

I have come in today to once again ask that you do not vote for ^{these} ~~this~~ BP as it is posted under new business in your agenda. You may argue that the AS has still been included in the line below this edit that reads "The procedures shall provide for the appropriate involvement of the CAC and AS". I submit to you that the appropriate involvement of the AS is to collaborate in the designing of these procedures.

as a governing body

Should you choose to accept this policy with no role of faculty in the design of these procedures, we will be awaiting your clear written explanation as to why you have chosen not only to ignore the recommendations of the AS but to obstruct their involvement in designing of important procedures in regard to academic and professional matters.

→ that is, in the execution of these procedures.