Monterey Peninsula College

Tentative Budget

Fiscal Year 2015-16

Board Approved June 24, 2015

Monterey Peninsula College

Tentative Budget

Fiscal Year 2015-206

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>	Description				
Executive Summary	Narrative Overview of budget	1-9			
3 Year Comparison of all Funds	A 3-year comparison of revenue and expens	ses for all funds 10-11			
Unrestricted General Fund Highlights	Narrative of Unrestricted General Fund reve expenses	enues and 12-14			
Exhibits	Major Object Category - Unrestricted General Fund - Restricted General Fund - Child Development Center - Student Center - Parking - Self Insurance - Workers Comp - OPEB - Capital Projects - Building Fund	Exhibit A Exhibit B Exhibit C Exhibit D Exhibit E Exhibit F Exhibit G Exhibit H Exhibit I Exhibit J			
Planning and Resource All	ocation Process	Attachment A			
President's Planning Assur	mptions — 2015/2016	Attachment B			
Institutional Goals 2014-20		Attachment C			

Executive Summary 2015-2016 Tentative Budget

Introduction

The Tentative Budget is the District's spending plan from July 1 through September 15. On or before September 15, the Board is required to hold a public hearing and approve an Adopted Budget for the fiscal year. The Tentative Budget is based on "budget assumptions" developed from a number of sources including the Governor's May Revision, the Chancellor's Office and the Community College League of California. As of this writing, there is no approved State Budget for 2015-16, however legislative leaders and the Governor appear to have an agreed framework including the funding of public education.

Sum	mary of A	II Fund	S	
MPC	2015-2016 Te	entative Bu	dget	
The following is a summary indicatin	g the projected	d beginning	balances, 201	15-2016
budgets, and projected ending balar	nces for all fund	ds maintaine	ed by the Dist	rict:
	Denimaina	D d		Factors
	Beginning	Bud	•	Ending
<u> </u>	Fund Balance	2015-		Fund Balance
<u>Funds</u>	<u>7/1/2015</u>	Revenue	<u>Expense</u>	6/30/2016
General				
Unrestricted	\$3,802,947	\$41,352,541	\$44,468,339	\$687,149
Restricted	\$0	\$7,248,791	\$7,248,791	\$0
Special Revenue	·			
Child Development - Unrestricted	\$0	\$129,652	\$129,652	\$0
Child Development - Restricted	\$0	\$405,461	\$405,461	\$0
Student Center	\$414,127	\$259,200	\$259,200	\$414,127
Parking	\$535,794	\$484,000	\$484,000	\$535,794
Debt Service				
Student Center	\$22,331	\$22,100	\$22,100	\$22,331
Capital Projects	\$378,443	\$850,690	\$877,370	\$351,763
Building	\$9,759,850	\$20,000	\$0	\$9,779,850
Self Insurance	\$1,761,464	\$6,728,726	\$6,728,726	\$1,761,464
Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB)	\$4,009,151	\$100,770	\$0	\$4,109,921
Worker Comp Insurance	\$106,000	\$25,000	\$60,000	\$71,000
Fiduciary				
Financial Aid	\$17,745	\$5,400,000	\$5,400,000	\$17,745
Associated Students	\$92,451	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$92,451
Scholarship and Loans	\$272,948	\$935,000	\$935,000	\$272,948
Trust Funds	\$293,917		\$744,500	\$293,917
Orr Scholarship	\$12,302	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$12,302
Total	\$21,479,470	\$64,801,431	\$67,858,139	\$18,422,762

Unrestricted General Fund expenses are shown to exceed revenues by \$3,115,798, resulting in an ending fund balance of \$687,149, significantly below the Board established fund balance reserve of 10% and the

Chancellor's Office minimum prudent reserve of 5%. All other funds are budgeted to have positive ending fund balances.

The \$3,115,798 imbalance is the District's structural deficit using budgeted numbers. No one-time funds (transfers from other funds) are being included in the Tentative Budget to offset the structural deficit. This will be the fifth consecutive year the District has had an Unrestricted General Fund deficit.

The Governor's 2015-16 May Revise provides additional funding of \$6,006,494 for MPC's Unrestricted General Fund, which can be used to address the structural problem and other needs of the District. The May Revise was released on May 14, which did not allow sufficient time for the District to identify expenditures and follow its established Planning and Resource Allocation Process for inclusion in the Tentative Budget. In addition, specifics on the funding will not be known until the state budget and trailer bills are approved. The additional \$6 million is currently included in Unrestricted General Fund budgeted revenue and a corresponding expense is budgeted as a contingency. Appropriate expenses need to be identified using established processes and then allocated from the contingencies to offset expenses and eliminate or reduce the structural deficit. The intent is to complete this process and be able to submit a balanced Final Budget in August.

The District has 3 major problems that need to be addressed: 1) the Unrestricted General Fund structural deficit, 2) future losses of revenue and increased expenses, and 3) other needs (including employee compensation, filling needed positions, and maintaining systems and facilities). The additional funding proposed by the state for 2015-16 is not sufficient to completely address these problems. Although significant cuts have been made over the past four years to address the structural deficit, the cuts and use of one-time funds (transfers from other funds) has not solved the problem and the structural deficit continues to grow. There are not sufficient additional ongoing funds in the proposed budget to offset the structural deficit and adequately address the other needs of the District. The additional one-time funds in the proposed budget can be used to assist with this but extreme caution must be used because of the future losses of revenue and increased expenses these one-time funds are intended for. Other actions, in addition to allocating the 2015-16 increased funding, will be needed to address the District's financial problems.

State Budget...Governor's May Revise for California Community College

Revenues in the State are up and the Governor's May Revise is very positive for community colleges. The increased funding comes with a warning from the Governor that despite stronger state revenue collections this year, the State Budget remains precariously balanced and faces the prospect of deficits in future years. The Governor stressed the importance of living within our means and avoiding the boom-bust budgeting of the past.

The following chart indicates the totals proposed for the community college system and the current estimates that MPC would receive in increased funding for the Unrestricted General Fund:

Unrestricted General Fund:

Ongoing		
	Proposed System-	Estimate for
Description	wide	MPC*
Cost of living allowance of 1.02%	\$61,000,000	\$339,671
Fund to restore enrollment declines over past 3 years	\$41,900,000	\$0 estimated,
		\$1.2M available
New \$'s for enrollment increases - 3% system-wide, growth	\$156,500,000	\$0 estimated,
formula allows 1% for MPC.		\$330K available
Increases CDCP FTES rate to same as credit.	\$49,700,000	\$163,800
Increase base allocation to reflect increased operating expenses	\$266,700,000	\$1,516,644
in areas of facilities, STRS & PERS, professional development,		
converting faculty from PT to FT, and other general expenses.		
Total UGF ongoing/undesignated funds	\$2,020,115	
Ongoing/Designated		
Funds for approximately 600 additional FT faculty. Allocated	\$75,000,000	\$426,503
based on FTES. Districts with lower portions of FT faculty		
expected to hire more. Funds would be accounted for in UGF		
but amount required to be spent on new hires will depend on		
MPC FT/PT ratio. System to provide numbers in future.		
Total UGF ongoing funds	i	\$2,446,618
One-time		
One-time funds to pay down prior mandate obligations to be	\$626,000,000	\$3,559,876
allocated on a per FTES basis. Intention is to provide CC's		
potential on-going funds to offset future loss of Prop 30 funds.		
Total Unrestricted General Fund		\$6,006,494

The Governors May Revise also includes revised estimates for property taxes and fee revenue that should eliminate apportionment state shortfalls of past, and will eliminate community college cash deferrals.

In addition, the following chart indicates the totals proposed for the community college system and the current estimates that MPC would receive in new/increased funding to various restricted funds:

Restricted Funds:

	Ongoing		
	or 1-	Proposed System-	Estimate for
Description	time	wide	MPC*
Funds for maintenance or instructional equipment	1-time	\$148,000,000	\$841,632
with no match required. Allocated per FTES.			
Energy efficiency program grants.	1-time	\$38,700,000	\$0
	grant		
Grant program for making more effective, evidence	1-time	\$60,000,000	TBD
based practices available to under prepared students.	grant		
Awards for Innovation in Higher Education.		\$50,000,000	
COLA of 1.02% for DSPS, EOPS, CalWORKS, and Child Care Tax Bailout programs.	ongoing	\$2,500,000	TBD
Care Tax Bailout programs.			

Pilot program for coordination with CSU.	1-time	\$2,000,000	TBD
Student Equity Planning		\$115,000,000	\$330,000
Student Success Programs		\$100,000,000	\$620,000
Institutional Effectiveness - Partnership Initiative		\$15,000,000	TBD

Estimates for MPC are not included for all restricted funds because specifics of the allocations to individual institutions are not yet known.

It is anticipated that the state budget will be approved by June 30, related trailer bills approved early July, and reports on specific funding for individual colleges released by the Chancellor's Office shortly thereafter.

Unrestricted General Fund Structural Deficit

The District has had an Unrestricted General Fund structural deficit for the three years: 2011-12, 2012-13, and 2013-14, and is projecting structural deficits for 2014-15 and 2015-16:

		Structural
<u>Year</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Deficit</u>
2011-12	Actual	\$1,151,981
2012-13	Actual	\$1,779,538
2013-14	Actual	\$1,654,433
2014-15	Final Budget	\$2,641,207
2015-16	Tentative Budget	\$3,115,798

Based on the above, \$4,585,952 in one-time funds has been spent to cover the first three years of the structural imbalance. Because of conservative budgeting practices followed by the District, the actual structural deficit for 2014-15 will likely be less than budgeted but will be significant nonetheless and take additional one-time resources that could be better spent elsewhere. And, the plan is to address the structural problem in the 2015-16 FY.

The primary source of income (Apportionment) for the Unrestricted General Fund is based on enrollments and the primary cause of the structural problem is declining enrollments:

Year	FTES		Apportionment*			
2010-11	7682	Final	\$37,649,497			
2014-15	6503	as of P1	\$33,301,119			
decline	1179		\$4,348,378			
*Computational revenue without stability or state shortfall \$'s						

The State imposed financial cuts and corresponding workload reductions on all community colleges in 2009-10 and again in 2011-12. Subsequently, new regulations were implemented that set limits on class repetitions and limited classes for lifelong learners. The new rules have severely hampered MPC's ability to grow.

Significant adjustments have been made by the District to offset the loss of apportionment revenue and balance budgets, including:

- Implemented retirement incentives and reductions in force, eliminated:
 - o 3.41 FTE management and 2.25 FTE administrative positions including PIO, Director of Maintenance, Maintenance Supervisor
 - o 1 Confidential position in HR
 - o 14 net FTE Faculty positions
 - o 24.38 FTE classified positions including 4 in facilities, 6.4 in CDC, and 2 in Theater
- Reorganized Child Development reduced Unrestricted General Fund support from \$ 500K to \$50K
- Reorganized Theater reduced Unrestricted General Fund support from \$ 948K to \$ 565K, including positions reduced
- Implemented adjustments to increase categorical support to Unrestricted General Fund
- Reduced supplies, travel and equipment budgets 29% (\$325,500) (from 2008-09 to 2013-14)
- Reduced contingency budgets (\$87K)
- Implemented one-time salary reduction of 2.02%
- Reduced medical benefits
- Paid off SunTrust loan (repayment obligation of UGF) with 1-time funds
- Eliminated Academic Support Center program (\$97K, including position reduced)
- Used \$4.6M 1-time funds (transferred from other funds)

Future losses of revenue and increases in expenses

Although projecting the future with any degree of accuracy would be an educated guess at best, there are at least three future certainties that can be quantified that will have significant negative impacts on District finances:

• Loss of Proposition 30 Revenue: the sales tax increase (from 6.25% to 6.55%) lasts through 2016 and the income tax increase on high income earners lasts through 2018 with the full-year effect of the expiration of all temporary taxes realized the following year resulting in a cumulative apportionment loss of \$1.87M by 2019-20.

	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	<u>2019-20</u>
Per FTES	\$27	\$55	\$173	\$288
MPC at 6503 FTES	\$175,581	\$357,665	\$1,125,019	\$1,872,864

• **PERS/STRS increases:** MPC retirement systems PERS and STRS have established rates that based on 2015-16 employee salaries will result in cumulative increased UGF expenses of \$1.96M by 2019-20.

	<u>2015-16</u>	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
PERS rate	18.847%	20.050%	23.600%	25.200%	26.900%
STRS rate	10.73%	12.58%	14.43%	16.28%	18.13%
Total annual \$ increase	\$283,284	\$362,797	\$528,384	\$390,806	\$397,861
Cumulative \$ increase		\$646,081	\$1,174,465	\$1,565,271	\$1,963,132

• Affordable Care Act (ACA): For this Tentative Budget, the ACA transitional reinsurance and PCORI fees are \$34,405 and mandated addition of 8 positions to fully paid benefits cost of \$159,248 result in total increased costs of \$193,653. Providing accurate costs for future provisions of ACA with any degree of accuracy is likely not possible assuming past changes and delays in implementation will likely continue for the future. However, current provisions of the ACA establish thresholds on the costs for benefits (\$10,200 for single person, \$27,500 for family) and starting in 2018 taxes employers 40% on the amounts above the thresholds. Estimates for total cost to MPC are between \$281K and \$431K per year.

In addition, COLA increases from the state have historically been less than increases in operating expenses. Colleges relying on COLA as their primary new revenue source end up losing financial ground. Community colleges need to realize growth in enrollments to maximize new funding from the State and make headway increasing their financial resources.

General Fund

The General Fund includes the general operating budgets for the District. Some monies are restricted as to their use and the fund is therefore separated by unrestricted and restricted.

Unrestricted General Fund

The District's primary financial activities for day-to-day operating revenues and expenses occur in the Unrestricted General Fund. Revenue is budgeted by the source of the funding and expenses are budgeted by their function.

No transfers from other funds are being used to balance the Unrestricted General Fund and expenses exceed revenue by \$3,115,798, which represents MPC's budgeted structural deficit for 2015-16. Significant additional funding from the State is anticipated this year that can be used to address the structural deficit and other needs of the District. The structural deficit is primarily caused by ongoing expenses exceeding ongoing revenues and this imbalance can only be resolved by increases in ongoing revenue or decreases in ongoing expenses. Using all of the additional ongoing, unrestricted state funds budgeted to be received would still leave a structural imbalance exceeding \$1M.

Additional state funding included in this Tentative Budget total \$6,006,494 and falls into three categories:

- 1. Ongoing, unrestricted \$2,020,115
- 2. Ongoing, designated to hire additional full-time faculty \$426,503
- 3. One-time, unrestricted \$3,559,876 (These one-time funds are being provided to community colleges for increased general operating expenses. It is understood that the Governor also intends to include these funds in the 2016-17 budget as one-time funds and eventually make them ongoing to offset the future loss of Prop 30 funding.)

MPC is also eligible for \$1.53M in additional funding if FTES can be increased (\$1.2M from restoration and \$330K for growth). The District could get paid for an additional \$1.53M in ongoing apportionment by growing 310 credit FTES (using the 2015-16 projected rate per credit FTES of \$4,943). This Tentative Budget uses the same base FTES for 2015-16 as 2014-15 (P1); therefore, no funds are budgeted for restoration and growth.

This Tentative Budget includes 3 offsetting contingency expense budgets totaling \$6,006,494. The District will be using its Planning and Resource Allocation Process (attachment A) to address the structural deficit and other needs of the District and identify expense budgets to replace the contingency budgets, which will reduce the structural deficit. The process will include matching ongoing revenue with ongoing expenses and one-time revenue with one-time expenses. Emphasis will be given to addressing the majority of the structural problem now while significant additional funds are available, and ensuring the District is prepared for the certain future loss of Prop 30 funding and increases in PERS, STRS and ACA.

Restricted General Fund

Funds used for the operation and support of educational programs that are specifically restricted by law, regulation, donor, or other outside agency are recorded in the Restricted General Fund. The majority of these funds must be expended within the fiscal year or returned to the funding agency. Budgets for state programs are based on the state's current approved budget. Funds budgeted for all programs total a little over \$7.2M. Since no allocation details have been provided by the Chancellor's Office, the amounts shown below are based on prior year estimates and will be adjusted at the Final Budget.

The following chart shows the 18 largest categorical programs and their respective funding level for the 2015-16 Tentative Budget:

	2014-15	2015-16	Change
Matriculation - CR/NCR	\$826,747	\$1,420,469	\$593,722
Extended Opportunities Programs and Services (EOP&S)	\$730,407	\$731,316	\$909
Access Resource Center (DSP&S)	\$476,686	\$626,661	\$149,975
ITEST (Natl. Science Foundation)	\$402,480	\$408,499	\$6,019
TRIO: Upward Bound	\$388,453	\$388,453	\$0
TRIO: Math Science Upward Bound	\$376,700	\$376,700	\$0
Student Equity	\$0	\$330,590	\$330,590
TRIO: Student Support Services	\$304,351	\$304,351	\$0
Health Services	\$342,000	\$300,987	-\$41,013
Student Financial Aid Administration (SFAA/BFAP)	\$240,493	\$240,493	\$0
Lottery	\$239,394	\$239,394	\$0
CalWorks/TANF	\$218,543	\$208,285	-\$10,258
Fire Training (Fee Supported)	\$150,500	\$146,000	-\$4,500
Federal Work Study	\$136,838	\$136,838	\$0
Perkins I-C Across CTE Programs	\$136,951	\$136,042	-\$909
Enrollment Growth- Nursing	\$135,287	\$135,287	\$0
First 5 Monterey County Workforce Dev.	\$109,486	\$109,723	\$237
Marine Tech. Mentor/Intern	\$108,938	\$103,865	-\$5,073

Special Revenue Fund:

Child Development Center (CDC), Student Center and Parking are accounted for in the Special Revenue Fund. Revenues generated by these programs are intended to pay for the cost of services provided.

Revenues and expenses for child development services are recorded in the CDC Fund. The CDC Fund includes monies that are restricted as to their use and the fund is therefore separated into unrestricted and restricted. The CDC Fund has a total budget of \$535,113 (unrestricted and restricted). CDC has been operating with a State Preschool contract under the California Department of Education. The Unrestricted General Fund is budgeted to transfer \$50,000 (a reduction of \$210,707 from 2014-15) to support CDC.

The Student Center Fund is maintained to record financial transactions of the Student Center building that was partially constructed using lease revenue bonds. The Student Center Fund receives revenue primarily from student use fees and commissions from the bookstore and cafeteria. The bond requires income to be used to make debt payments and maintain the facility. Half of the Student Activity Coordinator is paid by the Student Center Fund and half is paid by the Unrestricted General Fund.

The Parking Fund is maintained to record financial transactions related to parking as required by the Education Code. Revenues are primarily from parking permit sales and parking citations. Expenses are for parking security and maintenance and improvements to the parking lots.

Debt Service

Long-term debt principal, interest, and related costs of the District are accounted for in the Debt Service Fund. There is one obligation remaining that is accounted for in this fund: the annual long-term debt payment for the Student Center. Student Use Fees in the Student Center operating accounts pay the Student Center required payment of \$22,100.

Capital Projects

Non-bond expenses for all major acquisition, construction and deferred maintenance projects are accounted for in the Capital Projects Fund. Local projects include IPP & FPP processing, athletic facilities, and District expenses for Fort Ord. Expenses have been estimated at \$877,370 including new state funding of \$841,632 for maintenance or instructional equipment. Specific projects/purchases are yet to be identified for the new state funding.

Building

Expenses associated with Measure I, the \$145 million Proposition 39 bond, are accounted for in the Building Fund. No expenses are currently included in the 2015-16 Tentative Budget. Revenue of \$20K for interest income is budgeted resulting in a projected ending balance of \$9,779,850.

Self Insurance

Expenses for the District's self-insured medical benefits are budgeted and recorded in the Self Insurance Fund. Payments will be made from the various operating funds to the Self Insurance Fund to cover the claim payment. Total expenses of \$6,728,726 are budgeted for 2015-16.

Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB)

The District has an OPEB Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability of \$11,216,214. Since beginning in 2003-04 through 2014-15, a total of \$4,009,151 has been designated to address this liability, of which \$537,030 is restricted (being transferred from restricted accounts) and \$3,472,121 is committed (transferred from UGF by Board action). These funds have been kept in district accounts to assist with cash flow because the state was deferring payments to colleges (2013-14 year end deferral was \$4,373,304). Because state deferrals will be eliminated in 2015-16, the District should consider depositing these funds in an irrevocable trust. This will comply with GASB rules for funding the liability and provide greater interest income to satisfy the liability.

Workers Comp

The District is responsible for a small number of workers compensation claims after it withdrew from a K12 workers compensation self insurance JPA. A stop loss insurance policy covers claims exceeding \$200K and the District is responsible for costs under this. A reserve of \$106K has been established to cover the projected liability.

Fiduciary Funds

The Fiduciary Fund is used to account for assets held by the District as trustee. These funds include Student Financial Aid, Associated Students, Scholarships and Loans, Trust Funds, and Orr Scholarship funds.

Conclusion

Addressing the Unrestricted General Fund structural deficit is the top priority for the District. The ability to transfer unrestricted monies from other funds is nearly exhausted. The District will be using its Planning and Resource Allocation Process to identify the best way to allocate the projected \$6M in additional funding from the State to eliminate the structural problem and address other needs of the District. The District's Final Budget will reflect the proposed spending of the additional funds and reflect a more positive picture.

The Tentative Budget for 2015-16 was developed using best information at the time. Revenue assumptions were used in the absence of an approved State Budget. The final budget approved by the State will alter District assumptions that will require further adjustments to the District's budgets.

	Mon	terey Per	ninsula Co	ollege		
			ompariso			
						% >
	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014	-2015	2015-2016	Budget
	Actual	Actual	Act thru May*	Revised Budget	Budget	to Final Bud
Unrestricted General Fu	nd:					
Income						
Federal	\$3,041	\$5,816	\$4,806	\$10,700	\$11,000	2.8%
State	\$16,038,970	\$17,041,483	\$17,368,943	\$17,643,781	\$22,362,541	26.7%
Local	\$22,073,317	\$22,176,288	\$20,572,408	\$20,374,992	\$18,979,000	-6.9%
Total Income	\$38,115,328	\$39,223,587	\$37,946,157	\$38,029,473	\$41,352,541	8.7%
Expense						
Academic Salaries	\$14,232,486	\$14,764,531	\$13,296,767	\$14,588,593	\$14,581,300	0.0%
Classified Salaries	\$7,627,302	\$7,564,070	\$6,464,770	\$7,120,866	\$7,082,003	-0.5%
Fringe Benefits	\$4,054,094	\$8,930,823	\$8,888,780	\$9,513,895	\$9,956,494	4.7%
Books and Supplies	\$502,596	\$476,975	\$439,040	\$504,399	\$470,451	-6.7%
Operating	\$5,239,979	\$5,466,070	\$4,082,670	\$5,773,093	\$12,122,822	110.0%
Capital Outlay	\$186,828	\$133,691	\$213,169	\$200,226	\$136,586	-31.8%
Transfers	\$6,963,853	\$1,896,558	\$260,707	\$328,481	\$118,683	-63.9%
Total Expenses	\$38,807,138	\$39,232,718	\$33,645,903	\$38,029,553	\$44,468,339	16.9%
Restricted General Fund						
Income	l i					
Federal	\$2,235,216	\$1,536,126	\$789,759	\$2,532,774	\$1,995,731	-21.2%
State	\$2,469,119	\$2,864,673	\$3,261,944	\$5,090,047	\$4,211,384	-17.3%
Local	\$513,909	\$604,093	\$565,946	\$938,702	\$1,041,672	11.0%
Total Income	\$5,218,244	\$5,004,892	\$4,617,649	\$8,561,523	\$7,248,787	-15.3%
Expense	\$3,210,244	\$5,004,692	\$4,017,049	\$6,301,323	\$7,240,707	-13.5%
Academic Salaries	\$1,299,992	\$1,214,831	\$1,608,897	\$1,984,406	\$1,824,435	-8.1%
Classified Salaries	\$1,140,342	\$1,038,263	\$1,130,730	\$1,300,523	\$1,116,835	-14.1%
Fringe Benefits	\$418,002	\$358,742	\$1,027,397	\$1,135,818	\$1,200,193	5.7%
Books and Supplies	\$289,519	\$192,146	\$331,106	\$443,407	\$256,264	-42.2%
Operating Operating	\$1,265,639	\$1,276,673	\$1,350,045	\$1,869,667	\$1,465,887	-21.6%
Capital Outlay		\$131,594	\$468,938	\$851,744	\$494,380	-42.0%
Transfers	\$713,603	\$652,672	\$266,885	\$1,027,354	\$890,797	-13.3%
Total Expenses	\$5,218,245	\$4,864,921	\$6,183,998	\$8,612,919	\$7,248,791	-15.8%
		ψ1,001,521	\$0,100,770	ψο,ο12,>1>	Ψ7,210,771	13.070
Unrestricted Child Devel	_	Ф077 545	ф202 422	#202.207	Φ120.652	F7 10/
Income	\$358,460	\$277,545	\$282,433	\$302,207	\$129,652	-57.1%
Expense	\$358,460	\$277,545	\$280,700	\$302,207	\$129,652	-57.1%
Restricted Child Develop	oment					
Income	\$210,789	\$239,625	\$287,935	\$249,846	\$405,461	62.3%
Expense	\$210,789	\$239,625	\$214,126	\$249,846	\$405,461	62.3%
Student Center						
Student Center	¢272 271	\$250 617	¢244 151	\$250,200	\$250,200	0.00/
Income	\$273,271	\$259,617	\$244,151	\$259,200	\$259,200	
Expense	\$462,005	\$146,577	\$166,493	\$259,200	\$259,200	0.0%
Student Revenue Bond		***	**	**	***	A = .
Income	\$18,601	\$18,564	\$17,699	\$17,625	\$22,100	
Expense	\$18,525	\$18,075	\$17,625	\$17,625	\$22,100	25.4%

						% >
	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014	-2015	2015-2016	Budget
	<u>Actual</u>	Actual	Act thru May*	Revised Budget	Budget	to Final Buc
Debt Service						
Income	\$275,942	\$1,330,169	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Expense	\$275,324	\$1,383,132	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Parking						
Income	\$422,669	\$924,860	\$447,166	\$525,000	\$484,000	-7.8%
Expense	\$422,070	\$446,610	\$441,204	\$557,587	\$484,000	-13.2%
Capital Projects						
Income	\$2,369,387	\$1,153,857	\$585,572	\$750,116	\$850,690	13.4%
Expense	\$1,912,890	\$2,560,272	\$781,842	\$1,387,991	\$877,370	-36.8%
O LOT						
Self Insurance	¢< 0.40 0.12	Ф. 707 222	Φ7 202 020	Ф. 552 O. (4)	Ф. 700 70.	0.70/
Income	\$6,940,012		\$5,382,028	\$6,553,264	\$6,728,726	2.7%
Expense	\$7,864,333	\$12,171,002	\$6,438,411	\$8,794,471	\$6,728,726	-23.5%
OPEB						
Income	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$100,770	0.0%
Expense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Worker Comp Insurance						
Income	\$0	\$0	\$62,275	\$770,000	\$25,000	-96.8%
Expense	\$0	\$0	\$62,275	\$770,000	\$60,000	-92.2%
Financial Aid						
Income	\$5,198,296	\$5,339,985	\$5,408,323	\$5,200,000	\$5,400,000	3.8%
Expense	\$5,198,480	\$5,324,833	\$5,408,323	\$5,200,000	\$5,400,000	3.8%
Associated Students						
Income	\$114,135	\$96,072	\$76,806	\$90,000	\$90,000	0.0%
Expense	\$69,636		\$50,643	\$90,000	\$90,000	0.0%
Scholarship and Loans						
Income	\$2,335,037	\$2,199,477	\$1,926,513	\$2,500,000	\$935,000	-62.6%
Expense	\$2,566,724	\$2,212,724	\$1,957,410	\$2,500,000	\$935,000	-62.6%
Trust Funds						
Income	\$283,081	\$645,664	\$672,019	\$510,000	\$744,500	46.0%
Expense	\$508,432	\$502,521	\$554,323	\$510,000	\$744,500	
Orr Scholarship	\$27.202	\$7.474	\$5,170	\$10,000	\$5,000	50.00/
Income Expense	\$37,303 \$28,279		\$1,165	\$10,000 \$20,000	\$5,000	-50.0% -75.0%
	+,/	,,,	Ţ -,- OC	,,,_,	7-,-30	
Building Fund	ФОО О4О	¢124174	ΦΕ4.5.4	#27.00 2	ΦΦΦ ΦΦΦ	20.004
Income	-\$20,618		\$54,561	\$25,000	\$20,000	-20.0%
Expense	\$15,058,710	\$14,728,459	\$1,512,332	\$2,232,397	\$0	-100.0%

Unrestricted General Fund Highlights

2015-2016 Tentative Budget

Revenues:

Total Unrestricted General Fund revenue budgeted for 2015-16 is \$41,352,541. This includes \$6M in additional state funding as proposed in the Governor's May Revise.

- <u>Apportionment</u> The largest source of unrestricted revenue is referred to as Apportionment and is based on the structure of a college and actual. Apportionment funds are received from student registration fees, local property taxes, and state. Apportionment includes a basic allocation (based on the college structure single/multiple campus, centers, and size of each) and workload (FTES credit and non-credit). MPC's 2014-15 P1 FTES of 6,503 is being used to calculate a base Apportionment revenue of \$33,301,119 for 2015-16 Tentative Budget. The projected apportionment assumes no deficit coefficient.
- <u>Additional State Funding based on Governor's May Revise</u> amounts calculated based on 2014-15 P1 (total \$6,006,494)
 - o Ongoing \$2,020,115
 - COLA 1.02% on Apportionment base = \$339,671
 - OCDCP Equalization 120 CDCP FTES X \$1,365 = \$163,800
 - Base allocation increase based on MPC FTES vs statewide FTES = \$1,516,644
 - o Ongoing/designated- \$426,503

Funds for additional FT faculty, to improve FT/PT teaching ratio.

- o One-time \$3,559,876
 - One-time funds to help pay down prior obligations...understand intended to provide potential future funding to offset future loss of Prop 30 funds.
- Restoration and Growth MPC is eligible for \$1.2M in restoration funding and an additional \$330K in growth funding. The college will need to increase the current FTES base (6,503) by approximately 330 to earn this funding. No amount is being included in this budget for Restoration or Growth.
- Part-Time Faculty Compensation The District has budgeted the same as last year, \$173,268.
- <u>Lottery</u> Funds received from the Lottery Commission are based on FTES, including non-resident and apprenticeship. Lottery funds are budgeted at \$856,604, using School Services projection of \$128 per FTES and 6503 instate and 185 nonresident FTES.
- Nonresident Fees The non-resident fee revenue for 2015-16 is budgeted at \$586,000. The new rate adopted by the board for 2015-16 is the State average of \$200 per unit, which is \$7 more than the MPC 2014-15 rate.

• <u>Interest</u> – The yield on the funds deposited with the county treasury continues to be at historic lows (< 0.50%) and the District is budgeting \$15,000 in interest income for 2015-16.

Expenses:

Total Unrestricted General Fund Expenses are budgeted at \$44,468,339. Projections are included for all known obligations and only mandated increases (contractual, fee increases, etc.) in expenses have been added to the budget.

- <u>Salaries</u> Total net salaries are budgeted at \$21,663,303 for a small increase over \$21,631,280 in 2014-15. Increases for required step and column movement and longevity have been budgeted where appropriate, and turnover savings are reflected in the totals.
- <u>Fringe Benefits</u> Fringe benefits are shown in two categories: salary roll-up costs and medical benefits.

Salary roll-up costs include retirement, Medicare, FICA, unemployment, and workers comp and total 29.547% for classified employees and 15.23% for academic employees. The employer rate for STRS increased 1.85% from last year, and the PERS rate increased by 0.076%.

Employer rates for STRS and PERS are both expected to increase every year for the next several years. The Legislature has set STRS rates to increase by a total of 10.85% spread over the period from 2014-15 to 2020-21. Roll-up costs associated with salary increases for required step and column movement and longevity increases have been budgeted.

Expenses for the District's self-insured medical benefits are budgeted and recorded in the Self Insurance Fund. Payments are made from the various operating accounts to the Self Insurance Fund to cover the expenses. This Tentative Budget uses the medical rate from 2014-15. If new rates are approved, they will be used for the Final Budget in August.

- **Books and Supplies** This category is budgeted at \$470,451 for 2015-16.
- Services and Operating At \$12,122,822 this budget category is \$6,233,976 more than last year. Most of the difference (\$6,006,494) is in amounts set aside for expenses (to be determined) that will be supported by new state revenues.
 - 1. <u>Utilities</u> Total utility expenses (electricity, natural gas, water, waste disposal and sewage) are budgeted at \$1,198,641. Telephone expenses are budgeted at \$86,000.
 - 2. <u>Risk Management (insurance)</u> The District is in a pool with other community colleges and is self-insured for property and liability coverage. Property and liability is budgeted at \$291,188. Student accident insurance for athletes is budgeted at \$70,474. (A budget for student accident insurance is also included in the Restricted General Fund at \$44,717.)
 - 3. <u>Instructional Service Agreements</u> \$2,333,624 is budgeted for Instructional Service Agreements (ISA.)
 - 4. <u>Travel</u> The conference attendance and related travel budget is \$85,659 for 2015-16.

- 5. Legal Expenses This category is the same as last year with a budget of \$178,321.
- 6. <u>Election Expenses</u> –There will be a Board election in 2015-16, and election expense is budgeted at \$175,000.
- 7. <u>Building Maintenance</u> Minor capital improvements is budgeted at \$77,000.
- 8. Other Services & Expenses The total budgets here are similar to last year, except for the set-aside total of \$6,006,494 mentioned above. There was an overall decrease in the other budget lines of \$38,575.
- 9. <u>Contingencies</u> Total general contingencies are \$30,120, including \$10,000 for unanticipated institutional expenditures, \$6,580 for the Superintendent/President, \$11,040 total for vice presidents, \$2,500 total for deans.

Three contingency budgets totaling \$6,006,494 are included representing the additional state funds proposed by the Governor's May Revise:

- Ongoing \$2,020,115
- Ongoing designated \$426,503
- One-time \$3,559,876
- <u>Capital Outlay</u> This category is budgeted at \$136,586.
- <u>Transfers</u> Transfers to other funds are budgeted at \$118,683, a decrease of \$209,798 from last year, due to a decrease in the transfer to Child Development Fund.

Child Development Fund \$50,000 EOP&S \$68,683

• Other - The District records the mandatory allocation of revenue generated by DSPS classes by covering direct expenses totaling \$377,246 in the Unrestricted General Fund.

Conclusion

Unrestricted General Funds Expenses are budgeted to exceed revenues by \$3M, (the current structural deficit) and, an ending fund balance of \$700K is projected. Expense budgets include \$6,006,494 in contingencies for expenses (to be determined) that will be supported by the additional state revenues and significantly reduce or eliminate the structural deficit and increase the projected ending fund balance.

The Tentative Budget for 2015-16 was developed using the best information available at the time. The final budget approved by the State could alter District assumptions that would require further adjustments to the District's Final Budget.